

The $L^{(\alpha)}$ -harmonic extensions and their properties

Yôsuke HISHIKAWA*, Kazuki SAEKI**, and Masahiro YAMADA***

ABSTRACT. The $L^{(\alpha)}$ -harmonic functions are solutions of the parabolic operator $L^{(\alpha)} = \partial/\partial t + (-\Delta_x)^\alpha$. In this paper, we study $L^{(\alpha)}$ -harmonic extensions and their properties, which are defined by convolutions of the fundamental solution of $L^{(\alpha)}$. In particular, we show convergence theorems of the $L^{(\alpha)}$ -harmonic extensions with respect to natural topology.

1. Introduction

Let $n \geq 1$ and let H be the upper half-space of the $(n+1)$ -dimensional Euclidean space, that is, $H = \{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0\}$. For $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, the parabolic operator $L^{(\alpha)}$ is defined by

$$(1.1) \quad L^{(\alpha)} := \partial_t + (-\Delta_x)^\alpha,$$

where $\partial_t = \partial/\partial t$, $\partial_j = \partial/\partial x_j$, and $\Delta_x = \partial_1^2 + \dots + \partial_n^2$. Let $C(H)$ be the set of all real-valued continuous functions on H . A function $u \in C(H)$ is said to be $L^{(\alpha)}$ -harmonic if $L^{(\alpha)}u = 0$ in the sense of distributions (for details, see Section 3). In this paper, we study $L^{(\alpha)}$ -harmonic extensions on H and their properties, which are defined by convolutions of the fundamental solution of $L^{(\alpha)}$. And, we also show convergence theorems of the $L^{(\alpha)}$ -harmonic extensions.

To state our main results, we give some definitions. We denote by $W^{(\alpha)}$ the fundamental solution of $L^{(\alpha)}$ (see Section 2 for the definition). For $1 \leq p < \infty$, the Lebesgue space $L^p := L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined to be the Banach space of Lebesgue measurable (real-valued) functions f on \mathbb{R}^n with

$$\|f\|_{L^p} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^p dV_n(x) \right)^{1/p} < \infty,$$

where dV_n is the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^n . Furthermore, the Lebesgue space $L^\infty := L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined to be the Banach space of Lebesgue measurable (real-valued) functions f on \mathbb{R}^n with

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty} := \text{ess sup}\{|f(x)| : x \in \mathbb{R}^n\} < \infty.$$

For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we define an $L^{(\alpha)}$ -harmonic extension $\mathcal{H}_f^{(\alpha)}$ of $f \in L^p$ by

$$(1.2) \quad \mathcal{H}_f^{(\alpha)}(x, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} W^{(\alpha)}(x-y, t) f(y) dV_n(y), \quad (x, t) \in H.$$

Let $M := M(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the set of all signed Borel measures on \mathbb{R}^n (thus necessarily, $|\mu|(\mathbb{R}^n) < \infty$ for all $\mu \in M$). We also define an $L^{(\alpha)}$ -harmonic extension $\mathcal{H}_\mu^{(\alpha)}$ of $\mu \in M$ by

$$(1.3) \quad \mathcal{H}_\mu^{(\alpha)}(x, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} W^{(\alpha)}(x-y, t) d\mu(y), \quad (x, t) \in H.$$

We shall show that the functions $\mathcal{H}_f^{(\alpha)}$ and $\mathcal{H}_\mu^{(\alpha)}$ are $L^{(\alpha)}$ -harmonic on H (see Section 5). It is well known that when $\alpha = 1/2$, the fundamental solution $W^{(1/2)}$ coincides with the Poisson kernel for H (see [2, Section 2]). Therefore, the functions $\mathcal{H}_f^{(1/2)}$ and $\mathcal{H}_\mu^{(1/2)}$ are the usual harmonic extensions of f and μ , respectively. Let $C_c := C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the set of all continuous functions on \mathbb{R}^n with compact support, and let $C_0 := C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the set of all continuous functions on \mathbb{R}^n that vanish at ∞ . Furthermore, we denote by $C_c^\infty := C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the set of all infinitely differentiable functions on \mathbb{R}^n with compact support. We recall that the Banach spaces L^∞ and M are, respectively, the dual spaces of L^1 and C_0 . We present main results of this paper. When $\alpha = 1/2$ (that is, in the

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 35K05; Secondary 31B10, 28A33.

Keywords and phrases: harmonic extension, approximate-identity property, parabolic operator of fractional order

*Gifu National College of Technology; yosuke-h@gifu-nct.ac.jp, **Gifu University, ***Gifu University; yamada33@gifu-u.ac.jp

harmonic function theory), the following results are well known (for example, see [1, Chapter 7]). In this paper, we generalize results of usual harmonic extensions to that of $L^{(\alpha)}$ -harmonic extensions for all $0 < \alpha \leq 1$.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. Then, the following statements hold:*

- (1) *If f is bounded and uniformly continuous on \mathbb{R}^n , then the functions $\mathcal{H}_f^{(\alpha)}(\cdot, t)$ converge to f uniformly on \mathbb{R}^n as $t \rightarrow +0$.*
- (2) *If $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $f \in L^p$, then the functions $\mathcal{H}_f^{(\alpha)}(\cdot, t)$ converge to f in the norm topology on L^p as $t \rightarrow +0$.*
- (3) *If $f \in L^\infty$, then the functions $\mathcal{H}_f^{(\alpha)}(\cdot, t)$ converge to f in the weak-star topology on L^∞ as $t \rightarrow +0$.*
- (4) *If $\mu \in M$, then the measures $\mathcal{H}_\mu^{(\alpha)}(\cdot, t)dV_n$ converge to μ in the weak-star topology on M as $t \rightarrow +0$.*

Furthermore, we shall show the following theorem.

THEOREM 1.2. *Let $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $f \in L^p$, the function $\mathcal{H}_f^{(\alpha)}$ is $L^{(\alpha)}$ -harmonic on H . Furthermore, for $\mu \in M$, the function $\mathcal{H}_\mu^{(\alpha)}$ is $L^{(\alpha)}$ -harmonic on H .*

We describe the construction of this paper. In Section 2, we recall the definition of approximate-identity properties, and we exhibit general theory concerning functions defined a kernel that satisfies approximate-identity properties. In Section 3, we observe basic properties of the $L^{(\alpha)}$ -harmonic functions. In particular, we show that the operator $(-\Delta_x)^\alpha$ is well defined for all continuous functions ψ on H with compact support, and we also give an estimate of $(-\Delta_x)^\alpha \psi$. In Section 4, we present the explicit definition of the fundamental solution $W^{(\alpha)}$ of $L^{(\alpha)}$. Also, we show that $W^{(\alpha)}$ satisfies approximate-identity properties. Consequently, we show Theorem 1.1 (1). In Section 5, we show Theorem 1.1 (2), (3) and (4). We also show Theorem 1.2.

Throughout this paper, C will denote a positive constant whose value is not necessarily the same at each occurrence; it may vary even within a line.

2. The approximate-identity properties

In this section, we recall the definition of approximate-identity properties, and we exhibit general theory concerning functions defined a kernel that satisfies approximate-identity properties. We say that a Borel measurable function K on H satisfies approximate-identity properties if the function K holds the following properties:

$$(P.1) \quad K(x, t) > 0, \quad (x, t) \in H.$$

$$(P.2) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(x, t)dV_n(x) = 1, \quad 0 < t < \infty.$$

(P.3) For every $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and every $\delta > 0$,

$$\int_{|y-a| \geq \delta} K(x-y, t)dV_n(y) \rightarrow 0$$

as $(x, t) \rightarrow (a, 0)$.

Furthermore, for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $f \in L^p$, we define a function \mathcal{H}_f^K on H by

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{H}_f^K(x, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(x-y, t)f(y)dV_n(y), \quad (x, t) \in H.$$

For $\mu \in M$, we also define a function \mathcal{H}_μ^K on H by

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{H}_\mu^K(x, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(x-y, t)d\mu(y), \quad (x, t) \in H.$$

In this section, we study general theory concerning functions \mathcal{H}_f^K and \mathcal{H}_μ^K .

THEOREM 2.1. *Suppose that a Borel measurable function K on H satisfies approximate-identity properties. Then, the following statements hold:*

(1) *Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $f \in L^p$. Then,*

$$(2.3) \quad \|\mathcal{H}_f^K(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p} \leq \|\mathcal{H}_{|f|}^K(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p} \leq \|f\|_{L^p}$$

for all $t > 0$.

(2) *Let $\mu \in M$. Then,*

$$(2.4) \quad \|\mathcal{H}_\mu^K(\cdot, t)\|_{L^1} \leq \|\mathcal{H}_{|\mu|}^K(\cdot, t)\|_{L^1} \leq \|\mu\|$$

for all $t > 0$, where $\|\mu\|$ denotes the total variation of μ .

(3) *If f is bounded and uniformly continuous on \mathbb{R}^n , then the functions $\mathcal{H}_f^K(\cdot, t)$ converge to f uniformly on \mathbb{R}^n as $t \rightarrow +0$.*

PROOF. (1) Since the first inequality of (2.3) is clear, we only show the second inequality of (2.3). Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then, by (P.1) and (P.2), the Jensen inequality and the Fubini theorem imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{H}_{|f|}^K(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p}^p &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(x-y, t) |f(y)| dV_n(y) \right)^p dV_n(x) \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(x-y, t) |f(y)|^p dV_n(y) dV_n(x) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(y)|^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(x-y, t) dV_n(x) dV_n(y) \\ &= \|f\|_{L^p}^p. \end{aligned}$$

The case $p = \infty$ is easier than the case $1 \leq p < \infty$. In fact, by (P.1) and (P.2), we have

$$|\mathcal{H}_{|f|}^K(x, t)| = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(x-y, t) |f(y)| dV_n(y) \leq \|f\|_{L^\infty}.$$

(2) Let $\mu \in M$. Since the first inequality of (2.4) is clear, we only show the second inequality of (2.4). By (P.1) and (P.2), the Fubini theorem implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{H}_{|\mu|}^K(\cdot, t)\|_{L^1} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(x-y, t) d|\mu|(y) dV_n(x) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(x-y, t) dV_n(x) d|\mu|(y) \\ &= \|\mu\|. \end{aligned}$$

(3) By (P.1) and (P.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{H}_f^K(x, t) - f(x)| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(x-y, t) (f(y) - f(x)) dV_n(y) \right| \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(x-y, t) |f(y) - f(x)| dV_n(y). \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. Then, by the uniform continuity of f on \mathbb{R}^n , there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $|f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|x - y| < \delta$. Therefore, by (P.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &|\mathcal{H}_f^K(x, t) - f(x)| \\ &\leq \int_{|x-y| < \delta} K(x-y, t) |f(y) - f(x)| dV_n(y) + \int_{|x-y| \geq \delta} K(x-y, t) |f(y) - f(x)| dV_n(y) \\ &\leq \varepsilon \int_{|x-y| < \delta} K(x-y, t) dV_n(y) + 2\|f\|_{L^\infty} \int_{|x-y| \geq \delta} K(x-y, t) dV_n(y) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \varepsilon + 2\|f\|_{L^\infty} \int_{|x-y|\geq\delta} K(x-y, t) dV_n(y) \\
 &= \varepsilon + 2\|f\|_{L^\infty} \int_{|z|\geq\delta} K(-z, t) dV_n(z)
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Hence, (P.3) implies that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +0} \left(\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |\mathcal{H}_f^K(x, t) - f(x)| \right) \leq \varepsilon.$$

Since ε is arbitrary, the desired result is obtained. \square

3. The $L^{(\alpha)}$ -harmonic functions

In this section, we observe basic properties concerning the $L^{(\alpha)}$ -harmonic functions. We begin with recalling definition of the operator $(-\Delta_x)^\alpha$. Since the case $\alpha = 1$ is trivial, we only describe the case $0 < \alpha < 1$. Let $C^\infty(H)$ be the set of all infinitely differentiable functions on H , and let $C_c^\infty(H)$ be the set of all functions in $C^\infty(H)$ with compact support. Then, $(-\Delta_x)^\alpha$ is the convolution operator defined by

$$(3.1) \quad (-\Delta_x)^\alpha \psi(x, t) := -C_{n,\alpha} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} \int_{|y|>\varepsilon} \frac{\psi(x+y, t) - \psi(x, t)}{|y|^{n+2\alpha}} dV_n(y)$$

for all $\psi \in C_c^\infty(H)$ and $(x, t) \in H$, where $C_{n,\alpha} = -4^\alpha \pi^{-n/2} \Gamma((n+2\alpha)/2) / \Gamma(-\alpha) > 0$ and Γ is the gamma function. In the following proposition, we show that $(-\Delta_x)^\alpha \psi$ is well defined for all $\psi \in C_c^\infty(H)$, and we also give estimates of $(-\Delta_x)^\alpha \psi(x, t)$.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $\psi \in C_c^\infty(H)$. Then, $(-\Delta_x)^\alpha \psi(x, t)$ is well defined for all $(x, t) \in H$. Furthermore, there exist $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \infty$ and a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$(3.2) \quad \text{supp}((-\Delta_x)^\alpha \psi) \subset S := \mathbb{R}^n \times [t_1, t_2]$$

and

$$(3.3) \quad |(-\Delta_x)^\alpha \psi(x, t)| \leq C(1 + |x|)^{-n-2\alpha}, \quad (x, t) \in S.$$

The proof relies on the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.2. *Let $f \in C_c^\infty$ and let $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be fixed. Put*

$$h(y) := f(x+y) - f(x) - \sum_{j=1}^n y_j \partial_j f(x), \quad y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

then, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$|h(y)| \leq C|y|^2$$

for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

PROOF. Let $f \in C_c^\infty$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then, by the Taylor theorem, for each $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, there exists $0 < \theta_y < 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(x+y) - f(x) &= \sum_{j=1}^n y_j \partial_j f(x) + \frac{1}{2!} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n y_j \partial_j \right)^2 f(x + \theta_y y) \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^n y_j \partial_j f(x) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^n y_j y_k \partial_j \partial_k f(x + \theta_y y).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $f \in C_c^\infty$, we have

$$|h(y)| \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^n |y_j| |y_k| |\partial_j \partial_k f(x + \theta_y y)| \leq \frac{1}{2} |y|^2 \sum_{j,k=1}^n \|\partial_j \partial_k f\|_{L^\infty} = C |y|^2$$

for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. □

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 3.1. Since $\psi \in C_c^\infty(H)$, there exist $R > 0$ and $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \infty$ such that

$$(3.4) \quad \text{supp}(\psi) \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| \leq R\} \times [t_1, t_2].$$

Because the case $\alpha = 1$ is trivial, we show the case $0 < \alpha < 1$. Thus, let $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $(x, t) \in H$. If $t \notin [t_1, t_2]$, then $(-\Delta_x)^\alpha \psi(x, t) = 0$. Hence, (3.2) is clearly obtained. Therefore, let $t \in [t_1, t_2]$ be fixed. Then, it suffices to show that $(-\Delta_x)^\alpha \psi(x, t)$ is well defined and there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that the estimate of (3.3) holds. Put $f(z) := \psi(z, t)$ for $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Suppose $|x| \leq 2R$. Then, since the function f belongs to C_c^∞ , Lemma 3.2 implies that

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} \int_{|y| > \varepsilon} \frac{\psi(x+y, t) - \psi(x, t)}{|y|^{n+2\alpha}} dV_n(y) \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} \int_{3R \geq |y| > \varepsilon} \frac{f(x+y) - f(x)}{|y|^{n+2\alpha}} dV_n(y) \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} \int_{3R \geq |y| > \varepsilon} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n y_j \partial_j f(x) + h(y)}{|y|^{n+2\alpha}} dV_n(y) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_j f(x) \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} \int_{3R \geq |y| > \varepsilon} \frac{y_j}{|y|^{n+2\alpha}} dV_n(y) + \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} \int_{3R \geq |y| > \varepsilon} \frac{h(y)}{|y|^{n+2\alpha}} dV_n(y). \end{aligned}$$

We remark that the first term of the right-hand side of (3.5) is equal to 0. We give an estimate of the second term of the right-hand side of (3.5). Here, we note the polar coordinates formula for integration on \mathbb{R}^n . Let S_n be the unit sphere of \mathbb{R}^n , that is, the boundary of the unit ball B_n of \mathbb{R}^n . Furthermore, we denote by $d\sigma$ the normalized surface-area measure on S_n . Then, for a Borel measurable, integrable function g on \mathbb{R}^n ,

$$(3.6) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x) dV_n(x) = nV_n(B_n) \int_0^\infty r^{n-1} \int_{S_n} g(r\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta) dr.$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{3R \geq |y| > \varepsilon} \frac{|h(y)|}{|y|^{n+2\alpha}} dV_n(y) \leq C \int_{3R \geq |y| > \varepsilon} |y|^{2-n-2\alpha} dV_n(y) \\ &= C \int_\varepsilon^{3R} r^{n-1} \int_{S_n} |r\zeta|^{2-n-2\alpha} d\sigma(\zeta) dr = C \int_\varepsilon^{3R} r^{1-2\alpha} dr \\ &= C \frac{(3R)^{2(1-\alpha)} - \varepsilon^{2(1-\alpha)}}{2(1-\alpha)} \end{aligned}$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $0 < \alpha < 1$, we obtain the convergence of the second term of the right-hand side of (3.5). Hence, $(-\Delta_x)^\alpha \psi(x, t)$ is well defined and (3.3) holds for the suitable constant C .

Suppose $|x| > 2R$. Then, by (3.4), we have $\text{supp}(f) \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| \leq R\}$. Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} \int_{|y| > \varepsilon} \frac{\psi(x+y, t) - \psi(x, t)}{|y|^{n+2\alpha}} dV_n(y) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} \int_{|y| > \varepsilon} \frac{f(x+y)}{|y|^{n+2\alpha}} dV_n(y) \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} \int_{E(\varepsilon, R)} \frac{f(x+y)}{|y|^{n+2\alpha}} dV_n(y), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$E(\varepsilon, R) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : |y| > \varepsilon\} \cap \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x+y| \leq R\}.$$

If $y \in E(\varepsilon, R)$, then

$$|y| \geq |x| - |x+y| \geq |x| - R \geq \frac{1}{2}|x| = \frac{1}{4}|x| + \frac{1}{4}|x| \geq \frac{1}{2}R + \frac{1}{4}|x| \geq C(1+|x|),$$

where the constant C depends only on R . Therefore, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|y|>\varepsilon} \frac{|\psi(x+y, t) - \psi(x, t)|}{|y|^{n+2\alpha}} dV_n(y) &= \int_{|y|>\varepsilon} \frac{|f(x+y)|}{|y|^{n+2\alpha}} dV_n(y) \\ &\leq C(1+|x|)^{-n-2\alpha} \int_{E(\varepsilon, R)} |f(x+y)| dV_n(y) \leq C(1+|x|)^{-n-2\alpha} \|f\|_{L^\infty} \int_{|z|\leq R} dV_n(z) \end{aligned}$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|x| > 2R$. Hence, $(-\Delta_x)^\alpha \psi(x, t)$ is well defined and (3.3) holds for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|x| > 2R$. This completes the proof of proposition. \square

We give definition of the $L^{(\alpha)}$ -harmonic functions.

DEFINITION 3.3. Let $\tilde{L}^{(\alpha)} := -\partial_t + (-\Delta_x)^\alpha$ be the adjoint operator of $L^{(\alpha)}$. Then, a function $u \in C(H)$ is said to be $L^{(\alpha)}$ -harmonic if u satisfies $L^{(\alpha)}u = 0$ in the sense of distributions, that is,

$$\int_H |u \cdot \tilde{L}^{(\alpha)}\psi| dV_{n+1} < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_H u \cdot \tilde{L}^{(\alpha)}\psi dV_{n+1} = 0$$

for all $\psi \in C_c^\infty(H)$.

By Proposition 3.1, we give the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.4. Let $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. Then, the following statements hold:

(1) Let $\psi \in C_c^\infty(H)$. Then, $\tilde{L}^{(\alpha)}\psi(x, t)$ is well defined for all $(x, t) \in H$. Furthermore, there exist $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \infty$ and a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$(3.7) \quad \text{supp}(\tilde{L}^{(\alpha)}\psi) \subset S = \mathbb{R}^n \times [t_1, t_2]$$

and

$$(3.8) \quad |\tilde{L}^{(\alpha)}\psi(x, t)| \leq C(1+|x|)^{-n-2\alpha}, \quad (x, t) \in S.$$

(2) If a function $u \in C(H)$ satisfies

$$(3.9) \quad \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(x, t)|(1+|x|)^{-n-2\alpha} dV_n(x) dt < \infty$$

for all $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \infty$, then

$$\int_H |u \cdot \tilde{L}^{(\alpha)}\psi| dV_{n+1} < \infty$$

for all $\psi \in C_c^\infty(H)$.

4. The fundamental solution of $L^{(\alpha)}$

In this section, we present the explicit definition of the fundamental solution $W^{(\alpha)}$ of $L^{(\alpha)}$ (for details, see [2]). Furthermore, we describe properties of $W^{(\alpha)}$, and also show that $W^{(\alpha)}$ satisfies approximate-identity properties. Consequently, we show Theorem 1.1 (1).

For $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let

$$W^{(\alpha)}(x, t) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-t|\xi|^{2\alpha} + i x \cdot \xi) dV_n(\xi) & (t > 0) \\ 0 & (t \leq 0), \end{cases}$$

where $x \cdot \xi$ denotes the inner product on \mathbb{R}^n and $|\xi| = (\xi \cdot \xi)^{1/2}$. The function $W^{(\alpha)}$ is called the fundamental solution of $L^{(\alpha)}$ and it is $L^{(\alpha)}$ -harmonic on H .

In case $\alpha = 1/2$, the function $W^{(1/2)}$ is the Poisson kernel, that is,

$$W^{(1/2)}(x, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{\Gamma((n+1)/2)}{\pi^{(n+1)/2}} \frac{t}{(|x|^2 + t^2)^{(n+1)/2}} & (t > 0) \\ 0 & (t \leq 0). \end{cases}$$

In case $\alpha = 1$, the function $W^{(1)}$ is the Gauss kernel, that is,

$$W^{(1)}(x, t) = \begin{cases} (4\pi t)^{-n/2} \exp\left(-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}\right) & (t > 0) \\ 0 & (t \leq 0). \end{cases}$$

In other cases, simple explicit expressions for $W^{(\alpha)}$ are not known.

We describe basic properties of $W^{(\alpha)}$. It is well known that

$$(4.1) \quad W^{(\alpha)}(x, t) > 0, \quad (x, t) \in H$$

and

$$(4.2) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} W^{(\alpha)}(x, t) dV_n(x) = 1, \quad 0 < t < \infty.$$

We also remark $W^{(\alpha)} \in C^\infty(H)$. The following estimate is [2, Lemma 3.1], which plays an important role in later argument: there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$(4.3) \quad W^{(\alpha)}(x, t) \leq C \frac{t}{(t + |x|^{2\alpha})^{n/(2\alpha)+1}}$$

for all $(x, t) \in H$.

We show the following proposition. Thus, $W^{(\alpha)}$ satisfies approximate-identity properties, that is, $W^{(\alpha)}$ satisfies (P.1), (P.2) and (P.3).

PROPOSITION 4.1. *Let $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. Then, for every $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and every $\delta > 0$,*

$$(4.4) \quad \int_{|y-a| \geq \delta} W^{(\alpha)}(x-y, t) dV_n(y) \rightarrow 0$$

as $(x, t) \rightarrow (a, 0)$.

PROOF. By the translation on \mathbb{R}^n , we only prove the case $a = 0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $\delta > 0$. Then, by (4.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|y| \geq \delta} W^{(\alpha)}(x-y, t) dV_n(y) &\leq C \int_{|y| \geq \delta} \frac{t}{(|x-y|^{2\alpha} + t)^{n/(2\alpha)+1}} dV_n(y) \\ &\leq Ct \int_{|y| \geq \delta} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n+2\alpha}} dV_n(y). \end{aligned}$$

Since it suffices to consider for points $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ near $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let $|x| \leq \delta/2$. Then, for $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|y| \geq \delta$, we have

$$|x-y| \geq |y| - |x| \geq |y| - \frac{\delta}{2} \geq |y| - \frac{|y|}{2} = \frac{|y|}{2}.$$

Therefore, using polar coordinates, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|y| \geq \delta} W^{(\alpha)}(x-y, t) dV_n(y) &\leq Ct \int_{|y| \geq \delta} \frac{1}{|y|^{n+2\alpha}} dV_n(y) \\ &\leq Ct \int_{\delta}^{\infty} r^{n-1} \int_{S_n} \frac{1}{|r\zeta|^{n+2\alpha}} d\sigma(\zeta) dr = Ct \int_{\delta}^{\infty} r^{-1-2\alpha} dr = Ct \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|x| \leq \delta/2$. Thus, (4.4) tends to 0 as $(x, t) \rightarrow (0, 0)$. \square

By Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 4.1, we have the following theorem. In particular, Theorem 4.2 (3) below gives Theorem 1.1 (1).

THEOREM 4.2. *Let $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. Then, the following statements hold:*

(1) *Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $f \in L^p$. Then,*

$$\|\mathcal{H}_f^{(\alpha)}(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p} \leq \|\mathcal{H}_{|f|}^{(\alpha)}(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p} \leq \|f\|_{L^p}$$

for all $t > 0$.

(2) Let $\mu \in M$. Then,

$$\|\mathcal{H}_\mu^{(\alpha)}(\cdot, t)\|_{L^1} \leq \|\mathcal{H}_{|\mu|}^{(\alpha)}(\cdot, t)\|_{L^1} \leq \|\mu\|$$

for all $t > 0$.

(3) If f is bounded and uniformly continuous on \mathbb{R}^n , then the functions $\mathcal{H}_f^{(\alpha)}(\cdot, t)$ converge to f uniformly on \mathbb{R}^n as $t \rightarrow +0$.

5. The $L^{(\alpha)}$ -harmonic extensions

In this section, we show Theorem 1.1 (2), (3) and (4). Furthermore, we show Theorem 2.1, that is, the functions $\mathcal{H}_f^{(\alpha)}$ and $\mathcal{H}_\mu^{(\alpha)}$ are $L^{(\alpha)}$ -harmonic on H . First, we show Theorem 1.1 (2), (3) and (4).

THEOREM 5.1. *Let $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. Then, the following statements hold:*

(1) If $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $f \in L^p$, then the functions $\mathcal{H}_f^{(\alpha)}(\cdot, t)$ converge to f in the norm topology on L^p as $t \rightarrow +0$.

(2) If $f \in L^\infty$, then the functions $\mathcal{H}_f^{(\alpha)}(\cdot, t)$ converge to f in the weak-star topology on L^∞ as $t \rightarrow +0$.

(3) If $\mu \in M$, then the measures $\mathcal{H}_\mu^{(\alpha)}(\cdot, t)dV_n$ converge to μ in the weak-star topology on M as $t \rightarrow +0$.

PROOF. (1) First, we show Theorem 5.1 (1) when $f \in C_c$. Let $f \in C_c$. Then, there exists a constant $R > 0$ such that $\text{supp}(f) \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| \leq R\}$. Since f is bounded and uniformly continuous on \mathbb{R}^n , Theorem 4.2 (3) implies that the functions $\mathcal{H}_f^{(\alpha)}(\cdot, t)$ converge to f uniformly on \mathbb{R}^n as $t \rightarrow +0$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathcal{H}_f^{(\alpha)}(\cdot, t) - f\|_{L^p}^p \\ &= \int_{|x| \leq 2R} |\mathcal{H}_f^{(\alpha)}(x, t) - f(x)|^p dV_n(x) + \int_{|x| > 2R} |\mathcal{H}_f^{(\alpha)}(x, t) - f(x)|^p dV_n(x) \\ (5.1) \quad & \leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |\mathcal{H}_f^{(\alpha)}(x, t) - f(x)|^p \int_{|x| \leq 2R} dV_n(x) + \int_{|x| > 2R} |\mathcal{H}_f^{(\alpha)}(x, t)|^p dV_n(x), \end{aligned}$$

and the first term of the right-hand side of (5.1) tends to 0 as $t \rightarrow +0$. Thus, it suffices to show that

$$\int_{|x| > 2R} |\mathcal{H}_f^{(\alpha)}(x, t)|^p dV_n(x) \rightarrow 0$$

as $t \rightarrow +0$. Indeed, for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|x| > 2R$, by (4.1) and (4.2), the Jensen inequality and (4.3) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{H}_f^{(\alpha)}(x, t)|^p & \leq \int_{|y| < R} W^{(\alpha)}(x-y, t) |f(y)|^p dV_n(y) \\ & \leq C \int_{|y| < R} \frac{t}{(|x-y|^{2\alpha} + t)^{n/(2\alpha)+1}} |f(y)|^p dV_n(y) \leq Ct \int_{|y| < R} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n+2\alpha}} |f(y)|^p dV_n(y). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, for $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|y| < R$, we have

$$|x-y| \geq |x| - R \geq |x| - \frac{1}{2}|x| = \frac{1}{2}|x|.$$

Hence, we obtain

$$|\mathcal{H}_f^{(\alpha)}(x, t)|^p \leq Ct \int_{|y| < R} \frac{1}{|x|^{n+2\alpha}} |f(y)|^p dV_n(y) \leq C \|f\|_{L^\infty}^p t \frac{1}{|x|^{n+2\alpha}} = Ct \frac{1}{|x|^{n+2\alpha}}.$$

Therefore, we conclude

$$\int_{|x| > 2R} |\mathcal{H}_f^{(\alpha)}(x, t)|^p dV_n(x) \leq Ct \int_{|x| > 2R} \frac{1}{|x|^{n+2\alpha}} dV_n(x)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= Ct \int_{2R}^{\infty} r^{n-1} \int_{S_n} \frac{1}{|r\zeta|^{n+2\alpha}} d\sigma(\zeta) dr = Ct \int_{2R}^{\infty} r^{-1-2\alpha} dr = Ct \\
 &\rightarrow 0
 \end{aligned}$$

as $t \rightarrow +0$.

Next, we show Theorem 5.1 (1) when $f \in L^p$. Let $f \in L^p$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. Then, since C_c is dense in L^p , there exists $g \in C_c$ such that $\|f - g\|_{L^p} < \varepsilon$. Hence, Theorem 4.2 (1) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\mathcal{H}_f^{(\alpha)}(\cdot, t) - f\|_{L^p} &\leq \|\mathcal{H}_f^{(\alpha)}(\cdot, t) - \mathcal{H}_g^{(\alpha)}(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p} \\
 &\quad + \|\mathcal{H}_g^{(\alpha)}(\cdot, t) - g\|_{L^p} + \|g - f\|_{L^p} \\
 &\leq \|\mathcal{H}_g^{(\alpha)}(\cdot, t) - g\|_{L^p} + 2\varepsilon.
 \end{aligned}$$

Because $g \in C_c$, we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +0} \|\mathcal{H}_g^{(\alpha)}(\cdot, t) - g\|_{L^p} \leq 2\varepsilon.$$

Since ε is arbitrary, the desired result is obtained.

(2) Let $f \in L^\infty$ and $g \in L^1$. Then, the Fubini theorem implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x) \mathcal{H}_f^{(\alpha)}(x, t) dV_n(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} W^{(\alpha)}(x - y, t) f(y) dV_n(y) dV_n(x) \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} W^{(\alpha)}(x - y, t) g(x) dV_n(x) f(y) dV_n(y).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $W^{(\alpha)}(-z, t) = W^{(\alpha)}(z, t)$ for all $(z, t) \in H$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x) \mathcal{H}_f^{(\alpha)}(x, t) dV_n(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} W^{(\alpha)}(y - x, t) g(x) dV_n(x) f(y) dV_n(y) \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{H}_g^{(\alpha)}(y, t) f(y) dV_n(y).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Theorem 5.1 (1), we conclude

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x) \mathcal{H}_f^{(\alpha)}(x, t) dV_n(x) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x) f(x) dV_n(x) \right| \\
 &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\mathcal{H}_g^{(\alpha)}(x, t) - g(x)| |f(x)| dV_n(x) \\
 &\leq \|\mathcal{H}_g^{(\alpha)}(\cdot, t) - g\|_{L^1} \cdot \|f\|_{L^\infty} \\
 &\rightarrow 0
 \end{aligned}$$

as $t \rightarrow +0$.

(3) Let $\mu \in M$ and $g \in C_0$. Then, as in the proof of Theorem 5.1 (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x) \mathcal{H}_\mu^{(\alpha)}(x, t) dV_n(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} W^{(\alpha)}(y - x, t) g(x) dV_n(x) d\mu(y) \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{H}_g^{(\alpha)}(y, t) d\mu(y).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since g is bounded and uniformly continuous on \mathbb{R}^n , Theorem 4.2 (3) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x) \mathcal{H}_\mu^{(\alpha)}(x, t) dV_n(x) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x) d\mu(x) \right| \\
 &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\mathcal{H}_g^{(\alpha)}(x, t) - g(x)| d|\mu|(x) \\
 &\leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |\mathcal{H}_g^{(\alpha)}(x, t) - g(x)| \cdot \|\mu\| \\
 &\rightarrow 0
 \end{aligned}$$

as $t \rightarrow +0$. Thus, this completes the proof of theorem. \square

We also show Theorem 1.2.

THEOREM 5.2. *Let $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $f \in L^p$, the function $\mathcal{H}_f^{(\alpha)}$ is $L^{(\alpha)}$ -harmonic on H . Furthermore, for $\mu \in M$, the function $\mathcal{H}_\mu^{(\alpha)}$ is $L^{(\alpha)}$ -harmonic on H .*

PROOF. Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $f \in L^p$. And, put $\varphi(x) = (1 + |x|)^{-n-2\alpha}$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. First, we show

$$(5.2) \quad \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} W^{(\alpha)}(x-y, t) |f(y)| \varphi(x) dV_n(y) dV_n(x) dt < \infty$$

for all $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \infty$. Indeed, let q be the exponent conjugate to p . Then, by the Hölder inequality and Theorem 4.2 (1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} W^{(\alpha)}(x-y, t) |f(y)| \varphi(x) dV_n(y) dV_n(x) dt \\ &= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{H}_{|f|}^{(\alpha)}(x, t) \varphi(x) dV_n(x) dt \leq \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|\mathcal{H}_{|f|}^{(\alpha)}(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p} \cdot \|\varphi\|_{L^q} dt \\ &\leq \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|f\|_{L^p} \cdot \|\varphi\|_{L^q} dt = (t_2 - t_1) \|f\|_{L^p} \cdot \|\varphi\|_{L^q}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, by the polar coordinates formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi\|_{L^q}^q &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |x|)^{-q(n+2\alpha)} dV_n(x) = C \int_0^\infty r^{n-1} \int_{S_n} (1 + |r\zeta|)^{-q(n+2\alpha)} d\sigma(\zeta) dr \\ &= C \int_0^\infty \frac{r^{n-1}}{(1+r)^{q(n+2\alpha)}} dr \leq C \int_0^1 \frac{r^{n-1}}{(1+r)^{q(n+2\alpha)}} dr + C \int_1^\infty r^{n-1-q(n+2\alpha)} dr < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain (5.2). Thus, Theorem 3.4 (2) shows

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_H |\mathcal{H}_f^{(\alpha)}(x, t) \cdot \tilde{L}^{(\alpha)}\psi(x, t)| dV_{n+1}(x, t) \\ &\leq \int_H \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} W^{(\alpha)}(x-y, t) |f(y)| \cdot |\tilde{L}^{(\alpha)}\psi(x, t)| dV_n(y) dV_{n+1}(x, t) < \infty \end{aligned}$$

for all $\psi \in C_c^\infty(H)$. Hence, by (5.3), the Fubini theorem and the $L^{(\alpha)}$ -harmonicity of $W^{(\alpha)}$ imply that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_H \mathcal{H}_f^{(\alpha)}(x, t) \cdot \tilde{L}^{(\alpha)}\psi(x, t) dV_{n+1}(x, t) \\ &= \int_H \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} W^{(\alpha)}(x-y, t) f(y) dV_n(y) \cdot \tilde{L}^{(\alpha)}\psi(x, t) dV_{n+1}(x, t) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_H W^{(\alpha)}(x-y, t) \cdot \tilde{L}^{(\alpha)}\psi(x, t) dV_{n+1}(x, t) f(y) dV_n(y) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $\psi \in C_c^\infty(H)$. Consequently, the function $\mathcal{H}_f^{(\alpha)}$ is $L^{(\alpha)}$ -harmonic on H .

As in the proof of the case $p = 1$, we also obtain the function $\mathcal{H}_\mu^{(\alpha)}$ is $L^{(\alpha)}$ -harmonic on H for all $\mu \in M$. Thus, this completes the proof of theorem. \square

References

- [1] S. Axler, P. Bourdon and W. Ramey, Harmonic Function Theory, second edition, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
- [2] M. Nishio, K. Shimomura and N. Suzuki, α -parabolic Bergman spaces, Osaka J. Math. 42(2005), 133–162.